IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 11TH DECEMBER 2007 / 20TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

RP.No. 1214 of 2007(C)

(AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WPC NO.15146/07 DT.22/11/2007)

PETITIONER/2ND RESPONDENT:

THE MALAPPURAM DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE MOTOR VEHICLE LTD., MALAPPURAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.

BY ADV. SRI.V.RAJENDRAN

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER & 1ST RESPONDENT:
----

1. SRI.K.DIVAKARAN,
KORAMBAYIL ARCADE, MANJERI, MALAPPURAM.

2. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICER,
MALAPPURAM.

BY ADV.SRI.I.DINESH MENON
GOVT. PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
R.P.No.1214 OF 2007
IN
W.P.(C) NO. 15146 OF 2007 C
==========

Dated this the 11th day of December, 2007

O R D E R

Review sought for is on the ground that Ext.P2 has been described as a statutory representation while in fact it is not. Both sides agree that this description is incorrect. Therefore, I dispose of this review petition clarifying that the description of Ext.P2 as a statutory representation will stand modified as above. It is also clarified that when the matter is taken up for hearing by the 1st respondent as directed in the judgment, it will be open to the parties in the writ petition to question the maintainability of the representation also.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp